

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/942,830	08/30/2001	Johannes Wilhelmus Maria Sonnemans	ACH2823US	9198
7590 05/27/2004			EXAMINER	
Louis A. Morris			NGUYEN, TAM M	
Akzo Nobel Inc. 7 Livingstone Avenue		•	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
Dobbs Ferry, NY 10522			1764	

DATE MAILED: 05/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•\ .	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/942,830	SONNEMANS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	<u> </u>			
	Tam M. Nguyen	1764	2			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day- rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 Ap	<u>oril 2004</u> .					
,						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4:	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1.7-12 and 18-28 is/are pending in the						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,7-12 and 18-28</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) \square objected to by the	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ⊠ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	∌ d.				
Attachment(s)						
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	6) Other:	minus de broaden (s. s. a. s. s.)				
S. Detent and Trademark Office			_			

Application/Control Number: 09/942,830

Art Unit: 1764

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The rejection of claims 1, 3, 7-12, 14 and 18-28 under 35 USC § 103 over Gerritsen et al. (EP-0870817 A1) in view of either Takahashi et al. (4,845,068), Takahashi (EP-0357295 A2), or Takahashi (EP-289211 A1) is withdrawn by the examiner in view of the amendment filed on April 5, 2004.

Since a new rejection follows, applicant's arguments will not be addressed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 7-12 and 18-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hatanaka et al. (6,251,263) in view of either Takahashi et al. (4,845,068), Takahashi (EP-0357295 A2), or Takahashi (EP-289211 A1).

Hatanaka discloses a hydrodesulfurization process by contacting a hydrocarbon feedstock having a boiling point of from 220-380° C with a catalyst in reaction zones. The reaction zones are operated at a temperature of from 320 to 420° C, at a pressure of from 5 to 15 MPa (50 to 150 bar), at an LHSV of 0.5 to 3h⁻¹, and at a hydrogen/oil ratio of from 1000 to 5000 scfb. Hatanaka also discloses that the feedstock comprises alkyl-benzothiophenes and the product has a sulfur

Application/Control Number: 09/942,830

Art Unit: 1764

content of about 50 ppm (.005 wt.%). (See page; abstract; col. 4, lines 25-28, 42-56; col. 7, lines 44-45)

Hatanaka does not disclose that the feed contains 150-500 ppm of sulfur. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the process of Hatanaka by using a feed contains the claimed amount of sulfur because it appears that a feed containing any amount of sulfur greater than 0.005 wt.% of sulfur can be used in the process. Since the process is effective to reduce sulfur to less than about 50 ppm (.005 wt%), it would be expected that the results would be similar when using a feed containing the claimed amount of sulfur.

Hatanaka does not disclose that the catalyst comprises sulfur-containing organic additive. However, Takahashi (all three references) disclose a hydrodesulfurization process wherein the process employs a catalyst comprising metals of group VIB, VIII, and mercaptocarboxylic acids (See abstracts of all three references). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the process of Hatanaka by using the catalyst of Takahashi because Takahashi discloses that the catalyst is less expensive, simple to prepare and shows higher activity in hydrodesulfurization (see EP-0357295 A2; page 3, lines 24-25).

Claims 1, 7-12 and 18-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baird et al. (5,935,420) in view of either Takahashi et al. (4,845,068), Takahashi (EP-0357295 A2), or Takahashi (EP-289211 A1).

Baird discloses a hydrodesulfurization process by contacting a hydrocarbon feedstock having a boiling point of from 117-400° C with a catalyst in reaction zones. The reaction zones

Application/Control Number: 09/942,830

Art Unit: 1764

are operated at a temperature of from 40 to 500° C, at a pressure of from 100 to 3,000 psig (6.7 to 207 bar), at an LHSV of 0.1 to 100 h⁻¹, and with a hydrogen treat gas rate of about 50-10,000 SCF/B. Baird also discloses that the feedstock comprises alkyl-benzothiophenes with a sulfur amount of 163 and the product has a sulfur content of less than 50 ppm. (See col. 2, line 37 through col. 3, line 42; col. 8, lines 42-51; examples 1-6)

Baird does not disclose that the catalyst comprises sulfur-containing organic additive. However, Takahashi (all three references) disclose a hydrodesulfurization process wherein the process employs a catalyst comprising metals of group VIB, VIII, and mercaptocarboxylic acids (See abstracts of all three references). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the process of Baird by using the catalyst of Takahashi because Takahashi discloses that the catalyst is less expensive, simple to prepare and shows higher activity in hydrodesulfurization (see EP-0357295 A2; page 3, lines 24-25).

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/942,830

Art Unit: 1764

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tam M. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1452. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on (571) 272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tam M. Nguyen Examiner Art Unit 1764

TN

Walter D. Griffin Primary Examiner

With D. D.M.